

Summary of Review Comments & Revisions: Ka'ū Community Development Plan (CDP)

Appendix V2: Planning Process

February 2013 Draft

Note: This is an informal log of comments received and revisions planned. It is intended as a reference document only. Comments received are verbatim as received. Comments highlighted in **yellow** influenced CDP strategy identification. Though notes in the “Action Taken” column are in the past tense, those actions have not yet been taken – they are actions that will be taken when the appendix is revised.

Substantive Revisions

No.	Page / Line No.	Comment	Action Taken (by CDP Planning Team)
1.	3/28	“...other communities.” They have strong ties with land and construction project developer interests.	Subjective generalization. No change.
2.	4/5	<p>“...economic development.” Alas, Hawaiian cultural and ethno-sociological elements so pervasively present to our community and historical district were not being factored-in as the major contextual framework which it deserves or would be required for any true legitimate planning on this Island of Hawai’i; more overly so for our district, which was the Ancient Kingdom of Ka’ū, having never been conquered historically, its past remains ever present with unbroken threads back to the very origins of time in linkage to now, today. Few places in this world have retained such pristine continuity with the past. We need to preserve what we are recipients of and pass it onto future generations, just as sustainable as it came into our care.</p> <p>NOTE: To these ends a more accurate and substantive contextualization must be achieved in this documents’ narrative to have any constructive useful worth for the future of this community. It takes more than systems packaging to make rational sense and convey concepts. You have to have first hand</p>	Effort was made to tap local knowledge about this context during Phase I (pp. 6-7) and Phase II (p. 8). It is also summarized in Appendices V4A and C (p. 15). No change.

No.	Page / Line No.	Comment	Action Taken (by CDP Planning Team)
		direct knowledge on what you are dealing with or trying to discuss. One would have to understand complex enter-relationships that exist to competently address what is being attempted here. Formulating what one does not fully understand results in the worse kind of communication and verbiage; resulting in sentence wordsmithing taking meaning into meaninglessness.	
3.	16-17/ lines 5-14	Update with explanation of the strategy identification process	Updated.
4.	20/16 thru 20	Where there any planning team meeting with the principles in relation to the solar farm within Rancho subdivision and how was the ahupua'a of Kahuku and its residents engaged in this planning and approvals?	No meetings were held. State law permits solar farms without in the State Agricultural district. See Appendix V4B.

Formatting Revisions

No.	Page / Line No.	Comment	Action Taken (by CDP Planning Team)
5.	Throughout		Lowered top margin to reveal more of color band.
6.	Throughout		Increased indent of inside margins.
7.	Throughout		Framed the figures that don't have defined edges.
8.	Throughout		Increased the bottom margin.
9.	2/5-6	Are lacking periods (.)	Added semicolons.

No.	Page / Line No.	Comment	Action Taken (by CDP Planning Team)
10.	3, 13-15		Left justified.
11.	4/27 and 29	Lack their periods (.)	Added semicolons.
12.	5		Enlarged Figure 6 to whole page.
13.	14/ Fig. 16		Indented the bullets.
14.	15/31, 35 & 37	Lack their periods (.)	Added semicolons.
15.	16/8 & 9, 11	Lack their periods (.)	Added semicolons.
16.	17/9, 12, 13, 15, 16	Lack their periods (.)	Added periods or semicolons.
17.	19/20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 33	No periods (.)	Added semicolons.